

Does a “great country” take approximately 90% of a citizen’s wages without a hearing or court order? If so, how are citizens in totalitarian countries worse off? Shown below is the wage statement of Bob Lyman, a housing engineer for Clark County, in which Las Vegas is located. His two-week wage statement shows that Mr. Lyman earned \$2,527.70. However, after the Government ravaged his paycheck (using the IRS as its instrument), he was left with only 10% of his wages to live on. And, as the following will show, practically **ALL** of those deductions were **illegal** and **not authorized by law**.

The \$521.28 shown as a deduction for “Taxes” came about as the result of the IRS writing to Bob’s employer and telling them to disregard his claim of “exempt” as shown on his W-4. Bob claimed “exempt” pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 3402(n), which gives employees **the right** to claim “exempt” from withholding. Section 3402(n) states, in pertinent part: “an employer **is not required to deduct**...any tax under this chapter...if there is in effect ...a withholding exemption certificate ...certifying that the employee -- -1) incurred no liability for income tax...for his preceding taxable year, and 2) anticipates that he will incur no liability...for his current taxable year.” Therefore, believing he incurred no such tax “liability” (for the reasons covered in my Memorandum of Law regarding such a “liability.”), Bob, in conformity with the provisions of section 3402(n), submitted his W-4 under “penalty of perjury,” which then **required** his employer, Clark County, to stop the withholding of “income” taxes from his pay. (However, what is withheld from one’s pay are not income taxes, but an unconstitutional, unapportioned, **direct tax** on wages, as explained in pages 159-161 of **The Federal Mafia**).<sup>1</sup> There is absolutely no mention of the IRS in section 3402, nor does the statute make claiming “exempt” **conditional** on IRS approval. However, since most employers are easily intimidated by the IRS, the IRS has taken to illegally sending out letters (usually from fictitious IRS employees) telling employers to disregard the sworn, W-4 statements submitted to them by their employees – in conformity with the law. This whole process, therefore, is **illegal** on a *variety* of

---

<sup>1</sup> Since you get a “credit” for the “wage tax” paid against the income tax, allegedly due on April 15<sup>th</sup> of the **following year**, the false illusion created by this ruse, is that workers are **misled into believing they are** paying their “income taxes” in advance...” through wage withholding; however, this is **not** the case. In essence, millions of American workers are paying a tax they don’t even know exists. This fraudulent and unconstitutional deception is essential, otherwise wage withholding would not **be possible**, for reasons we need not get into here

grounds, but employees are generally powerless to do anything about it, if their employer succumbs to this kind of illegal, IRS intimidation.

The \$1,736.23 shown as being deducted came as a result of Clark County honoring a “notice of levy” – which was also **sent out illegally.**<sup>2</sup> A “notice of levy” is created in Code section 6331(a) which specifically provides that such a “notice” can only apply to the “**accrued** salary or wages” of federal employees. Since neither Bob nor his wages fell into either category, a “notice of levy” could not even apply to him – on this, and numerous **other** grounds. In addition the Revenue Agent who sent out the “notice of levy” had no authority to do so. IRS Revenue Agents only have “non-enforcement” pocket commissions, showing they have no authority to “enforce” the payment of income taxes by the use of “notices of levy.” This is shown very clearly in Chapter 7 of **The Federal Mafia.** In addition, Code Section 7608(a) shows that whatever enforcement authority IRS Revenue Agents **might have,** can only apply to the enforcement of alcohol, tobacco, and firearms taxes. So the next time someone boasts about America being a “great country,” remind them that this “great country” believes it can confiscate 90% of the wages of its citizens, without hearings and court orders of **any** kind. I wonder if they do this in communist China or Cuba? Maybe in those countries they confiscate 100% of the wages. If so, that would make Americans 10% better off.

---

<sup>2</sup> In addition, Code section 6331(h) limits the amount of money that can be seized with a “continuous levy” to 15%. So the garnishment of \$1,736.23 from Bob’s wages with a “continuous levy” is **unlawful** on a variety of grounds. Welcome to Amerika.

**CLARK COUNTY** LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

ADVICE NUMBER 0772550

EMPLOYEE NAME		SSN	PERIOD ENDING	AFFILY/FUNDS/DEPT/LOC	FTC	
G R LYMAN			05/21/2004	62/101/4180/00	S00	
EARNINGS		TAXABLE EARNINGS	TAXES	PRE-TAX	DEDUCTIONS	NET PAY
CURRENT	2,527.70	2,527.70	521.28	0.00	1,736.23	270.19
YTD	32,203.17	32,203.17	6,897.34	0.00	22,063.55	3,242.28
EARNINGS				DEDUCTIONS		
EARNINGS TYPE	HOURS	EARNINGS	BALANCE	DEDUCTIONS TYPE	DEDUCTIONS	YTD
REGULAR	80.00	2,527.70		MEDICARE	36.66	466.95
VAC BAL	5.54		47.03	FEDERAL TAX	484.62	6,430.39
SICK LV BAL	4.62		154.82	FIT CNTR EE/	6.00	60.00
BIRTH HOL			8.00	FED LEVY	1,727.23	21,503.50
				W ATT FEE	3.00	36.00
				SF DEDUCT		464.05

PAYROLL ADVICE ONLY - NOT NEGOTIABLE

ADVICE NUMBER 0772550

DATE OF ISSUE  
05/28/2004

G R LYMAN

PAY EXACTLY  
\$\*\*\*\*\*270.19